arXiv:1602.08221v2 [math.SG] 22 Dec 2016

Symplectic Parabolicity and L^2 Symplectic Harmonic Forms *

Qiang Tan, Hongyu Wang†, Jiuru Zhou

Abstract: In this paper, we study the symplectic cohomologies and symplectic harmonic forms which introduced by Tseng and Yau. Based on this, we get if (M^{2n}, ω) is a closed symplectic parabolic manifold which satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then its Euler number satisfies the inequality $(-1)^n\chi(M) \geq 0$.

AMS Classification (2000): 53D05.

Keywords: symplectic cohomology, symplectic parabolicity, L^2 harmonic form.

1 Main results

This paper is related to a special case of the Chern conjecture claiming that the topological Euler characteristic of a real $2n$-dimensional closed manifold M of negative curvature satisfies $\text{sign}\chi(M) = (-1)^n$. This conjecture is true in dimensions 2 and 4 ([7]). In dimension two, the answer follows immediately from the Gauss-Bonnet formula, i.e., a closed manifold of negative sectional curvature has negative Euler number. In dimension four, it is proved by J. Milnor (see [7]) that negative sectional curvature implies that Gauss-Bonnet integrand is pointwise positive.

A differential form α on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called $d(bounded)$ if α is the exterior differential of a bounded form γ, i.e., $\alpha = d\gamma$, where $\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{x\in M} \|\gamma(x)\|_g < \infty$. A form α on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called $\tilde{d}(bounded)$ if the lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ of α to the universal covering $\tilde{M} \to M$ is $d(bounded)$ on $\tilde{M}$ with respect to the lift metric $\tilde{g}$. Gromov gave the definition of Kähler hyperbolic in [11]. A closed complex manifold is called Kähler hyperbolic if it admits a Kähler metric whose Kähler form ω is $\tilde{d}(bounded)$. Similarly, we can define symplectic hyperbolic manifold. Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. Choose a ω-compatible almost complex structure J on M ([19]). Define an almost Kähler metric, $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$, on M. Then the triple (g, J, ω) is called an almost Kähler structure on M and the quadraple (M, g, J, ω) is called a closed almost Kähler manifold.

Definition 1.1. *A closed almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω) is called symplectic hyperbolic if the lift $\tilde{\omega}$ of ω to the universal covering $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega}) \to (M, g, J, \omega)$ is $d(bounded)$ on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega})$.*

*Supported by NSFC (China) Grants 11471145, 11401514 (Tan), 11371309 (Wang); University Scinence Research Project of Jiangsu Province 15KJB110024 (Zhou); Foundation of Yangzhou University 2015CXJ003 (Zhou).

†E-mail: hywang@yzu.edu.cn

M. Gromov [11] introduced the notion of Kähler hyperbolicity and proved the above conjecture in the Kähler case. After Gromov's work, J. Jost and K. Zuo [15] obtained that: If M is a $2n$-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature and homotopy equivalent to a closed Kähler manifold, then $(-1)^n\chi(M) \geq 0$. It is well known that the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of a negatively curved closed Riemannian manifold is an Anosov geodesic flow. Cheng [8] has proven that: Let M be a $2n$-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold with Anosov geodesic flow. If M is homotopy equivalent to a closed Kähler manifold, then $(-1)^n\chi(M) > 0$.

Gromov has proven that: If (M, g) is complete simply connected and has strictly negative sectional curvature, then every smooth bounded closed from of degree $k \geq 2$ is $d(bounded)$ ([11, 0.1 B]). We want to single out a condition which is weaker than d-boundedness and can be applied to Kähler manifolds of non-positive curvature. A differential form α on a closed Riemannian manifold is called $d(sublinear)$ (cf. [13, 15]) if there exist a differential form β and a number $c > 0$ such that $\alpha = d\beta$ and $\|\beta(x)\|_g \leq c(\rho(x_0, x) + 1)$, where $\rho(x_0, x)$ stands for the Riemannian distance between x and a base point x_0. A form α on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called $\tilde{d}(sublinear)$ if the lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ of α to the universal covering $\tilde{M} \to M$ is $d(sublinear)$ on $\tilde{M}$ with respect to the lift metric $\tilde{g}$. We pay special attention to the symplectic manifold.

Definition 1.2. *A closed almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω) is called symplectic parabolic if the lift $\tilde{\omega}$ of ω to the universal covering $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega}) \to (M, g, J, \omega)$ is $d(sublinear)$ on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega})$.*

If $L^2\Omega^k$ denotes the Hilbert space of L^2 k-forms, then the L^2-cohomology group $L^2H^k_{dR}$ is defined as the quotient of the space of closed L^2 k-forms by the closure of the space $dL^2\Omega^{k-1} \cap L^2\Omega^k$. It is a theorem that on a complete manifold any harmonic L^2 k-form is closed and coclosed and so represents a class in $L^2H^k_{dR}$. Hitchin [13] has proven that the L^2 harmonic forms on a complete noncompact Kähler parabolic manifold lie in the middle dimension, that is, if the Kähler form ω on a complete noncompact Kähler manifold is $d(sublinear)$, then the only L^2 harmonic forms lie in the middle dimension. In this paper, we want to prove some similar results for another two L^2 harmonic forms (L^2 symplectic harmonic).

Let (M, g, J, ω) be a closed almost Kähler $2n$-manifold, that is, M is a closed differential manifold with an almost Kähler structure on M. Symplectic Hodge theory was introduced by Ehresmann and Libermann [9] and was rediscovered by Brylinski [3]. They defined the symplectic star operator $*_s : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ analogously to the Hodge star operator but with respect to the symplectic form ω. As in Riemannian Hodge theory, define $d^\Lambda = (-1)^{k+1} *_s d*_s$ on $\Omega^k(M)$ (cf. [17]). A form α is called symplectic harmonic if it satisfies $d\alpha = d^\Lambda\alpha = 0$. Brylinski conjectured that on a closed symplectic manifold, every de Rham cohomology class contains a symplectic harmonic representative. Some evidence for his conjecture was presented in his paper [3] and he proved the conjecture for closed Kähler manifolds. Several years later, his conjecture for closed symplectic manifolds was disproved by Oliver Mathieu [18]. Brylinski's conjecture is equivalent to the question of the existence of a Hodge decomposition in the symplectic sense. The uniqueness of the

decompostion in this case is evidently not true. Mathieu gave two ways to give counter-examples to Brylinski's conjecture. In fact, Mathieu proved that every de Rham cohomology $H^*_{dR}(M)$ class contains a symplectic harmonic form if and only if the symplectic manifold satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, that is, the map

$$H^k_{dR}(M) \to H^{2n-k}_{dR}(M), \quad A \mapsto [\omega]^{n-k} \wedge A,$$

is an isomorphism for all $k \leqslant n$.

Mathieu's theorem is a generalization of the hard Lefschetz theorem for closed Kähler manifolds. His proof involves the representation theory of quivers and Lie superalgebras. Dong Yan [24] provided a simpler, more direct proof of this fact. Yan's proof follows the idea of the standard proof of the hard Lefschetz theorem.

Both the existence and uniqueness of symplectic harmonic forms may be not expected to hold in de Rham cohomology for closed almost Kähler manifolds. So Tseng and Yau thought that the de Rham cohomology may be not the appropriate cohomology to consider symplectic Hodge theory. They [23] introduced some new cohomology groups $H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$ and $H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$ for a symplectic manifold (M, ω). These two cohomologies are similarly paired and share many analogous properties with the pair Bott-Chern cohomology and Aeppli cohomology defined on complex manifolds. Indeed, both can be shown to be finite dimensional on closed complex manifolds by constructing self-adjoint fourth-order differential operators (cf. [16]). Similarly to the construction in [16], Tseng and Yau found out the associated Laplacian operators Δ_{d+d^Λ} and Δ_{dd^Λ} with respect to an almost Kähler structure (g, J, ω) of these new cohomologies. Unfortunately, Δ_{d+d^Λ} and Δ_{dd^Λ} are not elliptic operators. Then they introduce elliptic operators D_{d+d^Λ} and D_{dd^Λ} such that $\ker \Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} = \ker D_{d+d^\Lambda}$, $\ker \Delta_{dd^\Lambda} = \ker D_{dd^\Lambda}$. If (M, ω) is closed, Tseng and Yau have proven that both $H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$ and $H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$ are finite dimensional (see [23, Theorem 3.5, 3.16]). Then we can define the kth symplectic Betti numbers

$$\beta^{s,1}_k \triangleq \dim H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M), \;\; \beta^{s,2}_k \triangleq \dim H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$$

and the symplectic Euler numbers

$$\chi^{s,1}(M) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \beta^{s,1}_k, \;\; \chi^{s,2}(M) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \beta^{s,2}_k.$$

For an almost Kähler manifold, we denote the spaces of $d + d^\Lambda$ harmonic k-forms and dd^Λ harmonic k-forms by $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$ that are the kernel spaces of Δ_{d+d^Λ} and Δ_{dd^Λ}, respectively. If (M, g, J, ω) is closed, Tseng and Yau gave the Hodge decompositions for $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$. Then they got $H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M) \cong \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and $H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M) \cong \mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}$ on a closed symplectic manifold. Here, our first main result is considered on the complete noncompact almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω). In the following section, the notation L^2 on (M, g, J, ω) is meant with respect to the almost Kähler metric $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$, where J is an almost complex structure on M compatible with ω.

Theorem 1.3. *If (M, g, J, ω) is a $2n-$dimensional complete noncompact almost Kähler manifold whose symplectic form ω is d(sublinear), then its L^2 symplectic harmonic forms satisfy $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = 0$ and $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} = 0$, unless $k = n$.*

In general, the symplectic Betti numbers β_k^s, $0 \leq k \leq 2n$, are not topological invariants. If the closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then

$$H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M) \cong H^k_{dR}(M) \cong H^{2n-k}_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$$

and $\chi(M) = \chi^{s,1}(M) = \chi^{s,2}(M)$. Suppose that (M, ω) is a closed symplectic manifold and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ is the universal covering space. We consider L^2 symplectic harmonic forms on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$ and define L^2-symplectic Euler characteristics $L^2\chi^{s,1}(\tilde{M})$, $L^2\chi^{s,2}(\tilde{M})$ on it. Then we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional closed symplectic manifold, $\Pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \to (M, \omega)$ the universal covering map. If (M, ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then*

$$L^2\chi^{s,1}(\tilde{M}) = L^2\chi^{s,2}(\tilde{M}) = L^2\chi(\tilde{M}) = \chi(M) = \chi^{s,1}(M) = \chi^{s,2}(M).$$

At last, we want to consider Chern conjecture on a closed symplectic parabolic manifold. One of the powerful tools for Gromov achieving Chern conjecture on a Kähler manifold is that the Lefschetz operator commutes with the Hodge Laplacian operator $\Delta_d = dd^* + d^*d$. But in general, the Lefschetz operator does not commute with Δ_d on symplectic manifold. This makes us think that de Rham cohomology and its harmonic forms are inappropriate to be seen as a tool to solve problems on symplectic manifolds. Fortunately, by considering Tseng and Yau's new symplectic cohomologies on symplectic parabolic manifold, we get some interesting results. At last, with the hard Lefschetz property which ensures that de Rham cohomology consists with the new symplectic cohomology, we can obtain the third main result.

Theorem 1.5. *If (M, ω) is a $2n$-dimensional closed symplectic parabolic manifold which satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then the Euler number satisfies $(-1)^n\chi(M) \geq 0$.*

Remark 1.6. *1) Jianguo Cao and Frederico Xavier in* [4] *(see also J. Jost and K. Zuo's work* [15]*) have proven that a bounded closed k-form, $k \geq 1$, is d(sublinear) on a complete simply-connected manifold of non-positive sectional curvature. By their Lemma 3 in* [4]*, we can also get: Let M be a closed $2n$-Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature. If M is homotopy equivalent with a closed symplectic manifold which satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then the Euler number of M satisfies the inequality $(-1)^n\chi(M) \geq 0$.*

2)It is well known that a closed Kähler manifold M such that $\pi_1(M)$ is word-hyperbolic in the sense of [10] *and $\pi_2(M) = 0$ is a Kähler hyperbolic manifold. Hence, we conjecture that: Let (M, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional closed symplectic manifold, if $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite and $\pi_2(M) = 0$, then ω is $\tilde{d}$(bounded).*

Since the hard Lefschetz property in this article is a technical condition, we have the following question:

Question 1.7. *If we drop the condition that (M, ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property in* Theorem 1.4 *and* Theorem 1.5*, could the same conclusion hold?*

2 L^2 symplectic Hodge theory

Let us recall some definitions and some results of Hodge theory. Let M be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold with metric g. The Hodge star operator $*_g : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{m-k}(M)$ is a linear map which satisfies $\alpha \wedge *_g\beta = (\alpha,\beta)_g d\mathrm{vol}_g$ for all $\alpha,\beta \in \Omega^k(M)$. Here $\Omega^k(M)$ is the space of the smooth k-forms on M. We denote the adjoint operator of the differential operator d by d^* associated to g. By a direct calculation, we will find that $d^* = (-1)^{mk+m+1} *_g d *_g$ on $\Omega^k(M)$. A form α is called harmonic if it is both d-closed and d^*-closed. The Laplacian operator is given by $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^k(M)$, then α is harmonic if and only if $\Delta\alpha = 0$. By the theory of elliptic operator we conclude that the kernel of Δ is finite dimensional. And the Hodge decomposition tells us every cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative.

Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic $2n$-manifold. Symplectic Hodge theory was introduced by Ehresmann and Libermann [9] and was rediscovered by Brylinski [3]. They defined the symplectic star operator analogously to the Hodge star operator but with respect to the symplectic form ω. The symplectic star operator $*_s$ acts on a differential k-form α by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \wedge *_s\alpha' &= (\omega^{-1})^k(\alpha,\alpha')d\mathrm{vol} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!}(\omega^{-1})^{i_1j_1}\cdots(\omega^{-1})^{i_kj_k}\alpha_{i_1\cdots i_k}\alpha'_{j_1\cdots j_k}\frac{\omega^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

with repeated indices summed over. Direct calculation shows

$$\alpha \wedge *_s\beta = (-1)^k\beta \wedge *_s\alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where α and β are k-forms. The adjoint of the standard exterior derivative with respect to ω takes the form (cf. [17])

$$d^\Lambda = (-1)^{k+1} *_s d *_s .$$

Fix an almost Kähler structure (g,J,ω) on (M,ω). See some standard Hodge adjoint of the differential operators. Denote by

$$d^* = - *_g d *_g,$$

$$d^{\Lambda *} = *_g d^\Lambda *_g,$$

and

$$(dd^\Lambda)^* = (-1)^{k+1} *_g dd^\Lambda *_g$$

act on k-forms. By using the properties $d^2 = (d^\Lambda)^2 = 0$ and the anti-commutively $dd^\Lambda = -d^\Lambda d$, we will find that any form that is dd^Λ-exact is also d- and d^Λ-closed. This gives a differential complex

$$\Omega^k \xrightarrow{dd^\Lambda} \Omega^k \xrightarrow{d+d^\Lambda} \Omega^{k+1} \oplus \Omega^{k-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Tseng and Yau [23] considered the symplectic cohomology group $H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$ which are just the symplectic version of well-known cohomologies in complex geometry already studied by Kodaira and Spencer [16], for example. With complex (2.2), they define

$$H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M) = \frac{\ker(d+d^\Lambda)\cap\Omega^k(M)}{\mathrm{im}\, dd^\Lambda \cap \Omega^k(M)}. \tag{2.3}$$

From the differential complex, the Laplacian operator associated with the cohomology is

$$\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} = dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + \lambda(d^*d + d^{\Lambda *}d^\Lambda),$$

where we have inserted an undetermined real constant $\lambda > 0$ that gives the relative weight between the terms. The Laplacian is a fourth-order self-adjoint differential operator but not elliptic. However, Tseng and Yau introduce a related fourth-order elliptic operator (cf. [16, 23])

$$D_{d+d^\Lambda} = dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + (dd^\Lambda)^*(dd^\Lambda) + d^*d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda *}d + d^{\Lambda *}dd^*d^\Lambda + \lambda(d^*d + d^{\Lambda *}d^\Lambda). \quad (2.4)$$

The solution space of $D_{d+d^\Lambda}\alpha = 0$ is identical to that of $\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}\alpha = 0$. A differential form α is called $d + d^\Lambda$-harmonic if $\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}\alpha = 0$, or equivalently,

$$d\alpha = d^\Lambda\alpha = 0 \text{ and } (dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha = 0.$$

Denote the space of $d + d^\Lambda$-harmonic k-forms by $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$. Tseng and Yau [23] proved that the space of $d + d^\Lambda$-harmonic k-forms $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$ are finite dimensional and isomorphic to $H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$.

Proposition 2.1. *(*[23, Theorem 3.5]*) Let (M, g, J, ω) be a closed almost Kähler manifold. Then:*

(1) $\dim \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M) < \infty$.

(2) There is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^k = \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \oplus dd^\Lambda\Omega^k \oplus (d^*\Omega^{k+1} + d^{\Lambda *}\Omega^{k-1}).$$

(3) There is a caninical isomorphism: $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M) \cong H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(M)$.

Interestingly, simply reversing the arrows of the complex (2.2) leads to another symplectic cohomology group $H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$ (cf. [23]),

$$H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M) = \frac{\ker(dd^\Lambda) \cap \Omega^k(M)}{(\mathrm{im}d + \mathrm{im}d^\Lambda) \cap \Omega^k(M)}. \quad (2.5)$$

The Laplacian operator associated with the cohomology is

$$\Delta_{dd^\Lambda} = (dd^\Lambda)^* dd^\Lambda + \lambda(dd^* + d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda *}).$$

The Laplacian is also not elliptic. A differential form α is called dd^Λ-harmonic if $\Delta_{dd^\Lambda}\alpha = 0$, or equivalently,

$$d^*\alpha = 0, \;\; d^{\Lambda *}\alpha = 0 \text{ and } dd^\Lambda\alpha = 0.$$

Denote the space of dd^Λ-harmonic k-forms by $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$. Then Tseng and Yau introduce a fourth-order elliptic operator

$$D_{dd^\Lambda} = (dd^\Lambda)^* dd^\Lambda + (dd^\Lambda)(dd^\Lambda)^* + dd^{\Lambda *}d^\Lambda d^* + d^\Lambda d^* dd^{\Lambda *} + \lambda(dd^* + d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda *}), \quad (2.6)$$

which satisfies $\ker D_{dd^\Lambda} = \ker \Delta_{dd^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$. Tseng and Yau [23] proved that the space of dd^Λ-harmonic k-forms $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$ are finite dimensional and isomorphic to $H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$.

Proposition 2.2. *(*[23, Theorem 3.16]*) Let (M, g, J, ω) be a closed almost Kähler manifold. Then:*

(1) $\dim \mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M) < \infty$.

(2) There is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^k = \mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} \oplus (dd^\Lambda)^* \Omega^k \oplus (d\Omega^{k-1} + d^\Lambda \Omega^{k+1}).$$

(3) There is a caninical isomorphism: $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M) \cong H^k_{dd^\Lambda}(M)$.

Using the symplectic form $\omega = \sum \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$, the Lefschetz operator $L : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k+2}(M)$ and the dual Lefschetz operator $\Lambda : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k-2}(M)$ are defined by

$$L: \ L(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha,$$
$$\Lambda: \ \Lambda(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^{-1})^{ij} i_{\partial_{x^i}} i_{\partial_{x^j}} \alpha,$$

where i denotes the interior product.

Proposition 2.3. *(*[23, Corollary 3.8, 3.19]*) On a closed almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω) of dimension $2n$, the Lefschetz operator defines isomorphisms*

$$L^{n-k} : \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{d+d^\Lambda}$$

and

$$L^{n-k} : \mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{dd^\Lambda}$$

for $k \leq n$.

The compactness becomes important when one integrates by parts. For example, by applying the Stokes formula

$$\int_M d(\varphi \wedge *_g \psi) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

we can derive the desired relation $< d\varphi, \psi >_g = < \varphi, d^*\psi >_g$. If M is noncompact, then (2.7) is not true generally. Fortunately, Gromov has proven that (2.7) remains true for all L^1-forms on a complete manifold.

Lemma 2.4. *(*[11, Lemma 1.1.A]*) Suppose M is a complete m-manifold. Let α be an L^1-form on M of degree $m-1$ such that the differential $d\alpha$ is also L^1. Then*

$$\int_M d\alpha = 0.$$

Remark 2.5. *The above relation for C^∞ forms easily yields the statement for nonsmooth η where $d\eta$ is understood as a distribution (cf.* [11, 14]*).*

Let $\Delta_d = dd^* + d^*d$ be the de Rham Laplacian. Denote the space of Δ_d-harmonic k-forms by $\mathcal{H}^k_d(M)$. In [11], Gromov has gotten

$$L^2\Omega^k = L^2\mathcal{H}^k_d \oplus [\overline{d(L^2\Omega^{k-1})} \oplus \overline{d^*(L^2\Omega^{k+1})}]. \tag{2.8}$$

Along the lines used by Gromov(see also [14]), we want to obtain another two decompositions.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that (M, g, J, ω) is a complete noncompact almost Kähler manifold. Then we can get:*
(1) $< d\alpha, \beta >_g =< \alpha, d^*\beta >_g$, for $\alpha, \beta, d\alpha, d^*\beta \in L^2\Omega^*(M)$,
(2) $< d^\Lambda\alpha, \beta >_g =< \alpha, d^{\Lambda *}\beta >_g$, for $\alpha, \beta, d^\Lambda\alpha, d^{\Lambda *}\beta \in L^2\Omega^*(M)$,
(3) $< dd^\Lambda\alpha, \beta >_g =< \alpha, (dd^\Lambda)^*\beta >_g$, for $\alpha, \beta, d^\Lambda\alpha, d^*\beta, dd^\Lambda\alpha, (dd^\Lambda)^*\beta \in L^2\Omega^*(M)$.

Proof. (1) By observing the formula

$$d\alpha \wedge *\beta - \alpha \wedge *d^*\beta = \pm d(\alpha \wedge *\beta),$$

and note that both $\alpha \wedge *\beta$ and $d(\alpha \wedge *\beta)$ are L^1-forms, we can easily get

$$< d\alpha, \beta >_g =< \alpha, d^*\beta >_g$$

by applying the Lemma 2.4.

(2) Suppose that α is a k-form and β is a $k-1$-form.

$$\begin{aligned}(d^\Lambda\alpha, \beta)_g dvol_g &= (-1)^{k+1} *_s d *_s \alpha \wedge *_g \beta \\ &= (-1)^{(k-1)^2} d *_s \alpha \wedge *_s *_g \beta \\ &= (-1)^{(k-1)^2}[d(*_s\alpha \wedge *_s *_g \beta) + (-1)^{k-1} *_s \alpha \wedge d *_s *_g \beta]\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of conditions, we find that both $*_s\alpha \wedge *_s *_g \beta$ and $d(*_s\alpha \wedge *_s *_g \beta)$ are L^1-forms. Taking integral of both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}< d^\Lambda\alpha, \beta >_g &= \int_M (-1)^{(k-1)^2} d(*_s\alpha \wedge *_s *_g \beta) + \int_M (-1)^{k^2-k} *_s \alpha \wedge d *_s *_g \beta \\ &= (-1)^{k^2-k} \int_M *_s\alpha \wedge d *_s *_g \beta \\ &= \int_M \alpha \wedge *_s d *_s *_g \beta \\ &= \int_M \alpha \wedge (-1)^k d^\Lambda *_g \beta \\ &= < \alpha, (-1)^{k^2+k} *_g d^\Lambda *_g \beta >_g \\ &= < \alpha, d^{\Lambda *}\beta >_g .\end{aligned}$$

(3) The third conclusion is an obvious result following (1) and (2). □

With Lemma 2.6, we can obtain another very useful lemma. Before giving the useful lemma, we claim that there exists a family of cutoff functions a_ε such that

$$a_\varepsilon \geq 0, \ \ \|\nabla a_\varepsilon\|_g \leq \varepsilon(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ \|\nabla^2 a_\varepsilon\|_g \leq \varepsilon^2$$

and the subsets $a_\varepsilon^{-1}(1) \subset M$ exhaust M as $\varepsilon \to 0$ on complete noncompact manifold M. Here, we only give the case on $\mathbb{R}$. Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(\frac{-1}{x}), & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Define

$$\psi(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + f(1-x)}.$$

Note that

- $0 \le \psi(x) \le 1$ for $0 < x < 1$,
- if $x \le 0$, $\psi(x) = 0$ and if $x \ge 1$, $\psi(x) = 1$,
- ψ, ψ' and ψ'' are all bounded.

Finally for $x \ge 0$, let

$$a_\varepsilon = \psi^2(2 - \varepsilon x).$$

Clearly, $a_\varepsilon(x) = 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]$ and $a_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ on $[\frac{2}{\varepsilon}, \infty)$. For $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} < x < \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$a'_\varepsilon(x) = -2\varepsilon\psi(2 - \varepsilon x)\psi'(2 - \varepsilon x).$$

Since ψ' is bounded, we see that $-\varepsilon C_1\sqrt{a_\varepsilon} \le a'_\varepsilon(x) \le 0$ for some constant C_1. Moreover,

$$a''_\varepsilon(x) = 2\varepsilon^2\psi'^2(2 - \varepsilon x) + 2\varepsilon^2\psi(2 - \varepsilon x)\psi''(2 - \varepsilon x).$$

Since ψ, ψ' and ψ'' are bounded, we have $|a''_\varepsilon(x)| \le C_2\varepsilon^2$ for some constant C_2.

With the Gaffney cutoff trick, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that (M, g, J, ω) is a complete, noncompact almost Kähler manifold. If an L^2-form α is $d + d^\Lambda$-harmonic, i.e., $\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}\alpha = 0$, then α satisfies $d\alpha = d^\Lambda\alpha = 0$ and $(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. We want again to justify the integral identity

$$< \Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}\alpha, \alpha >_g = < (dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha, (dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha >_g + \lambda < d\alpha, d\alpha >_g + \lambda < d^\Lambda\alpha, d^\Lambda\alpha >_g .$$

If $d\alpha$, $d^*\alpha$, $d^\Lambda\alpha$, $d^{\Lambda *}\alpha$, $dd^\Lambda\alpha$ and $(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha$ are all L^2 forms, then the above equation follows by Lemma 2.6.

To handle the general case, we will use the Gaffney cutoff trick. We cutoff α and obtain by a simple computation $0 = < \Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}\alpha, a_\varepsilon\alpha >_g = I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon)$, where

$$I_1(\varepsilon) = \int_M a_\varepsilon(\lambda\|d\alpha\|_g^2 + \lambda\|d^\Lambda\alpha\|_g^2 + \|(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha\|_g^2)$$

and

$$|I_2(\varepsilon)| \le C_0 \int_M \|\nabla^2 a_\varepsilon\|_g \cdot \|\nabla a_\varepsilon\|_g \cdot \|\alpha\|_g \cdot (\|(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha\|_g + \lambda\|d\alpha\|_g + \lambda\|d^\Lambda\alpha\|_g),$$

where $\|d\alpha\|_g^2 = (d\alpha, d\alpha)_g$ and C_0 is some positive constant. Without loss of generality, we assume $C_0 = 1$. Choose cutoff functions a_ε, such that

$$a_\varepsilon \ge 0, \ \ \|\nabla a_\varepsilon\|_g \le \varepsilon(a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ \|\nabla^2 a_\varepsilon\|_g \le \varepsilon^2$$

and the subsets $a_\varepsilon^{-1}(1) \subset M$ exhaust M as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2(\varepsilon)| &\le \varepsilon^3 \int_M (a_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\alpha\|_g \cdot (\|(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha\|_g + \lambda\|d\alpha\|_g + \lambda\|d^\Lambda\alpha\|_g) \\
&\le \varepsilon^3\|\alpha\|_{L^2}[(\int_M a_\varepsilon\|(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha\|_g^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\int_M a_\varepsilon\lambda\|d\alpha\|_g^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\int_M a_\varepsilon\lambda\|d^\Lambda\alpha\|_g^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \\
&\le 2\varepsilon^3\|\alpha\|_{L^2}(\int_M a_\varepsilon\|(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha\|_g^2 + \int_M a_\varepsilon\lambda\|d\alpha\|_g^2 + \int_M a_\varepsilon\lambda\|d^\Lambda\alpha\|_g^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= 2\varepsilon^3\|\alpha\|_{L^2} \cdot |I_1(\varepsilon)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le 4\varepsilon^6\|\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot I_1(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|I_1(\varepsilon)| = |I_2(\varepsilon)|$, we get

$$I_1(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{16}{3}\varepsilon^6 \|\alpha\|^2_{L^2},$$

and hence $I_1(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. □

With Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 one concludes, as in the closed manifold, that the $L^2\Omega^k(M)$ of exterior k-forms on a complete manifold M admits Hodge decomposition.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose (M, g, J, ω) is a complete, noncompact almost Kähler manifold. Then*

$$L^2\Omega^k = L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \oplus \overline{dd^\Lambda(L^2\Omega^k)} \oplus [\overline{d^*(L^2\Omega^{k+1})} + \overline{d^{\Lambda *}(L^2\Omega^{k-1})}],$$

where $\overline{d(\cdots)}$ is the closure in $L^2\Omega^k$ of the intersection of $L^2\Omega^k$ with the image of d. Similarly, we can get

$$L^2\Omega^k = L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} \oplus \overline{(dd^\Lambda)^*(L^2\Omega^k)} \oplus [\overline{d(L^2\Omega^{k-1})} + \overline{d^\Lambda(L^2\Omega^{k+1})}].$$

Similarly to Corollary 3.8 and Corollary 3.19 in [23], we get the following isomorphism.

Proposition 2.9. *Suppose that (M, g, J, ω) is a complete, noncompact almost Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$, the Lefschetz operator defines isomorphisms*

$$L^{n-k} : L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{d+d^\Lambda},$$

$$L^{n-k} : L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{dd^\Lambda}$$

Proof. For an almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω), there exists a connection called second canonical connection ∇^1_g whose torsion tensor has vanishing $(1,1)$-part. Moreover, ∇^1_g satisfies $\nabla^1_g g = 0$, $\nabla^1_g J = 0$ and $\nabla^1_g \omega = 0$ (cf. [6, 19]). Since $\nabla^1_g \omega = 0$, it implies that ω is bounded. We only prove the first isomorphism, and the other is similar. Since ω is bounded, if α is L^2, the same $L^{n-k}\alpha$. Note that Δ_{d+d^Λ} preserves the degree of forms and $[\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}, L] = 0$, $[\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda}, \Lambda] = 0$ ([23, Lemma 3.7]), we get that $L^{n-k} : L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \to L^2\mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{d+d^\Lambda}$ is an isomorphism. □

Decomposition (2.8) and Proposition 2.9 lead the Lefschetz vanishing property which is similar with Hitchin's result (see [13, Theorem 2]).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is clear that the symplectic form ω is bounded with respect to the given almost Kähler metric g. By hypothesis, we assume that $\omega = d\eta$, where η satisfy

$$\|\eta(x)\|_g \leq c(\rho(x_0, x) + 1).$$

Then for every closed L^2 k-form α, $k < n$, the form $L^{n-k}\alpha = \omega^{n-k} \wedge \alpha = d\beta$, where $\beta = \eta \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} \wedge \alpha$. By [13, Proof of Theorem 1], we obtain that $\omega^{n-k} = \eta \wedge \omega^{n-k-1}$ is d(sublinear). Then applying [13, Theorem 1] again we get that $L^{n-k}\alpha = d(\eta \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} \wedge \alpha) = d\beta$ lies in the closure of $dL^2\Omega^{2n-k-1} \cap L^2\Omega^{2n-k}$. In particular, if α is dd^Λ-harmonic, by Proposition 2.9, $L^{n-k}\alpha$ is also dd^Λ-harmonic, i.e., $d\beta$ is dd^Λ-harmonic. Then $d\beta$ is Δ_d-harmonic. Hence, by decomposition (2.8),

$L^{n-k}\alpha = d\beta = 0$. Proposition 2.9 has stated that L^{n-k} is an isomorphism from $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}$ to $L^2\mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{dd^\Lambda}$ for $k < n$. Therefore, $\alpha = 0$. At last, we can summarize that both $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} = 0$ for $k < n$ and $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} = 0$ for $k > n$.

By simple calculation, we find that the Laplacians Δ_{d+d^Λ} and Δ_{dd^Λ} satisfy

$$*_g\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} = \Delta_{dd^\Lambda} *_g .$$

Hence, we have $*_g : L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda} \to L^2\mathcal{H}^{2n-k}_{d+d^\Lambda}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we can also summarize that $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = 0$, unless $k = n$. □

3 Symplectic Euler characteristics

A Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with a unitary action of a countable group Γ is called a Γ-module if $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to a Γ-invariant subspace in the space of L^2-functions on Γ with values in some Hilbert space H. To each Γ-module, one assigns the Von Neumann dimension, also called Γ-dimension, $0 \le \dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H} \le \infty$, which is a nonnegative real number or $+\infty$ (see [2, 14, 21, 22]). The precise definition is not important for the moment but the following properties convey the idea of $\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H}$ as some kind of size of the "quotient space" $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma$:

(i) $\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} = 0$.

(ii) If Γ is a finite group, then $\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{H}/card\Gamma$.

(iii)$\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H}$ is additive. Given $0 \to \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_3 \to 0$, one has $\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H}_2 = \dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H}_1 + \dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H}_3$.

(iv) If $\mathcal{H}$ equals the space of L^2-functions $\Gamma \to H$, then $\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H} = \dim H$. In particular, if $H = \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\dim_\Gamma \mathcal{H} = n$.

Here we are interested in the situation where Γ is a discrete faithful group of symplectomorphisms of a symplectic manifold (M, ω). Find an almost Kähler structure (g, J, ω) on (M, ω), then one has an almost Kähler manifold (cf [19]). It is not hard to see that the given group Γ acts on (M, g, J, ω) as deck transformation group [6]. One can easily show that the spaces $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$, $L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}$ of harmonic L^2-forms are Γ-module for all degrees k (see [2, 14, 21, 22]), and then one defines the L^2-symplectic Betti numbers $L^2\beta^{s,1}_k \triangleq \dim_\Gamma L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and $L^2\beta^{s,2}_k \triangleq \dim_\Gamma L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}$. The most interesting case is when M/Γ is closed. Then the L^2-symplectic Betti numbers are finite $L^2\beta^s_k < \infty$ and the L^2-symplectic Euler characteristics is defined by

$$L^2\chi^{s,1}(M) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{2n}(-1)^k L^2\beta^{s,1}_k$$

and

$$L^2\chi^{s,2}(M) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{2n}(-1)^k L^2\beta^{s,2}_k.$$

First recall how Hodge theory works on a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold ([13]). If $L^2\Omega^k$ denotes the Hilbert space of L^2 k-forms, then the L^2-de Rham cohomology group $L^2H^k_{dR}$ is defined as the quotient of the space of closed L^2 k-forms by the closure of the space

$$dL^2\Omega^{k-1} \cap L^2\Omega^k.$$

Similarly, we can define the L^2-symplectic cohomology group on a complete, noncompact almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω) by

$$L^2H^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = \frac{\ker(d+d^\Lambda)\cap L^2\Omega^k}{\overline{dd^\Lambda L^2\Omega^{k-1}\cap L^2\Omega^k}}.$$

By decomposition (2.8) and Proposition 2.8, we can find that

$$L^2H^k_{dR} \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^k_d,\ \ L^2H^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda},$$

and every L^2 cohomology class has a L^2 harmonic representative form which is the only one.

A closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) is said to satisfy the dd^Λ-Lemma if every d-exact, d^Λ-closed form is dd^Λ-exact. In fact, it turns out that the following conditions are equivalent on a closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) ([1, 5, 12, 18, 20, 23, 24]):

• (M, ω) satisfies the dd^Λ-Lemma;

• the natural homomorphism $H^\bullet_{d+d^\Lambda}(M;\mathbb{R}) \to H^\bullet_{dR}(M;\mathbb{R})$ is actually an isomorphism;

• every de Rham cohomology class admits a representative being both d-closed and d^Λ-closed;

• the hard Lefschetz Condition holds on (M, ω).

More generally, Dong Yan has gotten the following result on a symplectic manifold which may be not compact.

Proposition 3.1. *([24]) Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with dimension $2n$. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

1. every de Rham cohomology class admits a representative being both d-closed and d^Λ-closed;

2. For any $k \le n$, the cup product $L^k : H^{n-k}_{dR}(M;\mathbb{R}) \to H^{n+k}_{dR}(M;\mathbb{R})$ is surjective.

Remark 3.2. *The above assertion 2 is just the definition of hard Lefschetz property on a symplectic manifold which may be not compact.*

Let (M, g, J, ω) be a complete noncompact almost Kähler manifold. Denote the Sobolev space

$$L^2_l\Omega^k = \{\alpha \in \Omega^k \mid \sum_{i=0}^{l} |(\nabla^1_g)^i\alpha|_g \in L^2(M)\},$$

where ∇^1_g be the second canonical connection with respect to the given almost Kähler structure (g, J, ω) (cf. [6, 19]). Now we define the L^2-dd^Λ-Lemma on a complete noncompact almost Kähler manifold.

Definition 3.3. *Let (M, g, J, ω) be a complete noncompact almost Kähler manifold. Let $\alpha \in L^2\Omega^k$ be a d- and d^Λ-closed differential form. We say that the L^2-dd^Λ-Lemma holds if the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) $\alpha = d\beta$, $\beta \in L^2_1\Omega^{k-1}$;

(ii) $\alpha = d^\Lambda\gamma$, $\gamma \in L^2_1\Omega^{k+1}$;

(ii) $\alpha = dd^\Lambda\theta$, $\theta \in L^2_2\Omega^k$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let (M,g,J,ω) be a $2n$-dimensional closed almost Kähler manifold, $\Pi : (\tilde{M},\tilde{g},\tilde{J},\tilde{\omega}) \to (M,g,J,\omega)$ the universal covering map. If (M,g,J,ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then L^2-dd^Λ-Lemma holds on $(\tilde{M},\tilde{g},\tilde{J},\tilde{\omega})$.*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that M is a connected manifold. Denote by $\pi_1(M)$ the fundamental group of M. Let Γ be the deck transformation group of the covering. Then Γ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(M)$. Suppose $F \subseteq \tilde{M}$ is the fundamental domain of the universal covering. It is well known that $\Pi(F)$ is an open set of M and $\Pi(\bar{F}) = M$ (cf. [6]), moreover both $\partial\bar{F}$ and $M \setminus \Pi(F)$ satisfy the Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $2n-1$ (cf. [6]). For any $\phi \in \Gamma$, $\phi : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}$ is a homeomorphism. Denote by $F_\phi = \phi(F)$, then $\phi : F \to F_\phi$ is a diffeomorphism and $F \cap F_\phi = \varnothing$ for any $\phi \neq e$. Moreover, $\tilde{M} = \cup_{\phi\in\Gamma}\phi(\bar{F})$.

Since (M,ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then the dd^Λ-Lemma holds on M, that is

$$Imd \cap \ker d^\Lambda = \ker d \cap Imd^\Lambda = Imdd^\Lambda.$$

Suppose that α is a d-closed k-form on M and $\alpha = d^\Lambda\beta$. Then there exists $k-1$-form γ such that $\alpha = d^\Lambda\beta = d\gamma$. Note that $\gamma \in \Omega^{k-1} = \mathcal{H}_d^{k-1} \oplus d(\Omega^{k-2}) \oplus d^*(\Omega^k)$, without loss of generality, we can assume $\gamma = d^*\eta$, where η is a k-form. Then $\alpha = dd^*\eta$. Using the Hodge decomposition again, we can assume $\eta = d\xi$, where $\xi \in \Omega^{k-1}$. Since $dd^* : d\Omega^{k-1} \to d\Omega^{k-1}$ is an elliptic linear operator, we can obtain

$$\|\eta\|_{L_2^2(M)} \leq c_M \|\alpha\|_{L^2(M)},$$

where c_M is constant which only depends on M. Indeed, we have gotten the following property in distribution sense. If $\alpha = d^\Lambda\beta$ is a d-closed L^2 form on M, then we can find a L_1^2 form γ such that $\alpha = d\gamma$. Since $\Pi : F \hookrightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism and $M \setminus \Pi(F)$ satisfy the Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $2n-1$ (cf. [6]), we obtain that: *If $\alpha_F = d^\Lambda\beta_F$ is a d-closed L^2 form on F, then we can find a L_1^2 form γ_F such that $\alpha_F = d\gamma_F$.* Suppose that $\tilde{\alpha} = d^\Lambda\tilde{\beta}$ is a d-closed k-form on $\tilde{M}$, moreover $\tilde{\alpha}$ is L^2. Restricted to F_ϕ, we can find $\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$ such that $\|\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}\|_{L_2^2(F_\phi)} \leq c(F_\phi)\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{L^2(F_\phi)}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}|_{F_\phi} = d\tilde{\gamma}_{F_\phi} = dd^*\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}_{F_\phi} \triangleq d^*\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$. Define $\tilde{\eta} = \sum_{\phi\in\Gamma}\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = d^*\tilde{\eta}$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\eta} \in L_2^2(\cup_{\phi\in\Gamma}F_\phi) = L_2^2(\cup_{\phi\in\Gamma}\bar{F_\phi}) = L_2^2(\tilde{M})$. Then we will get $\tilde{\alpha} = d\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in L_1^2(\tilde{M})$.

Suppose that α is a d^Λ-closed k-form on M and $\alpha = d\beta$. Then by dd^Λ-Lemma there exists k-form γ such that $\alpha = dd^\Lambda\gamma$. Note that $\Delta_{d^\Lambda} = d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*} + d^{\Lambda*}d^\Lambda$ is an ellipitic differential operator (see [23, Proposition 3.3]). Applying elliptic theory to the Δ_{d^Λ} then implies the Hodge decomposition

$$\Omega^k(M) = \mathcal{H}_{d^\Lambda}^k(M) \oplus d^\Lambda\Omega^{k+1}(M) \oplus d^{\Lambda*}\Omega^{k-1}(M). \tag{3.1}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\gamma = d^{\Lambda*}\eta$ and $\alpha = dd^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*}\eta$. Using the Hodge decomposition (3.1) again, we can assume $\eta = d^\Lambda\xi$. Since $d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*} : d^\Lambda\Omega^k \to d^\Lambda\Omega^k$ is an elliptic linear operator, we can obtain

$$\|\eta\|_{L_3^2(M)} \leq c_{M,1}\|d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*}\eta\|_{L_1^2(M)},$$

where $c_{M,1}$ is constant which only depends on M. Note that $\alpha = d(d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*}\eta)$, we can assume $d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*}\eta = d^*\theta$, since $d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda*}\eta \in \Omega^{k-1} = \mathcal{H}_d^{k-1} \oplus d(\Omega^{k-2}) \oplus d^*(\Omega^k)$, where θ is

a k-form. It is well known that $d+d^*$ is an elliptic linear operator (cf. [2, 6]), so $d : d^*\Omega^k \to \Omega^k$ is an elliptic linear operator. Hence, $\|d^\Lambda d^{\Lambda *}\eta\|_{L^2_1(M)} \leq c_{M,2}\|\alpha\|_{L^2(M)}$. Therefore, we can obtain

$$\|\eta\|_{L^2_3(M)} \leq c_M\|\alpha\|_{L^2(M)},$$

where c_M is constant which only depends on M. We have gotten the following property in distribution sense. If $\alpha = d\beta$ is a d^Λ-closed L^2 form on M, then we can find a L^2_2 form γ such that $\alpha = dd^\Lambda\gamma$. At last, we obtain that: *If $\alpha_F = d\beta_F$ is a d^Λ-closed L^2 form on F, then we can find a L^2_2 form γ_F such that $\alpha_F = dd^\Lambda\gamma_F$.* Suppose that $\tilde{\alpha} = d\tilde{\beta}$ is a d^Λ-closed k-form on $\tilde{M}$, moreover $\tilde{\alpha}$ is L^2. Restricted to F_ϕ, we can find $\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$ such that $\|\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}\|_{L^2_3(F_\phi)} \leq c(F_\phi)\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{L^2(F_\phi)}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}|_{F_\phi} = dd^\Lambda\tilde{\gamma}_{F_\phi} = dd^\Lambda d^{\Lambda *}\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}_{F_\phi} \triangleq d^{\Lambda *}\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$. Define $\tilde{\eta} = \sum_{\phi\in\Gamma}\tilde{\eta}_{F_\phi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = d^{\Lambda *}\tilde{\eta}$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\eta} \in L^2_3(\cup_{\phi\in\Gamma}F_\phi) = L^2_3(\cup_{\phi\in\Gamma}\bar{F}_\phi) = L^2_3(\tilde{M})$, since $\tilde{M} \setminus \cup_{\phi\in\Gamma}F_\phi$ has Hausdorff dimension$\leq 2n-1$. Then we will get $\tilde{\alpha} = dd^\Lambda\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in L^2_2(\tilde{M})$. □

Remark 3.5. *Indeed, in the above Proposition, we have proven that*
$\alpha = d^\Lambda\beta$, $\alpha \in L^2$ *and* α *is* d*-closed* $\Rightarrow \alpha = d\gamma$, $\gamma \in L^2_1$;
$\alpha = d\beta$, $\alpha \in L^2$ *and* α *is* d^Λ*-closed* $\Rightarrow \alpha = dd^\Lambda\gamma$, $\gamma \in L^2_2$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let (M, g, J, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional closed almost Kähler manifold, $\Pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega}) \to (M, g, J, \omega)$ the universal covering map. If (M, g, J, ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then the canonical homomorphism*

$$L^2H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R}) \to L^2H^k_{dR}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism for all k.

Proof. Suppose that α is a d-closed and d^Λ-closed L^2 k-form such that $[\alpha]_{dR} = 0$, i.e. $\alpha = d\beta$ for some $\beta \in L^2\Omega^{k-1}(\tilde{M})$. By the proof of Proposition 3.4, we can find $\gamma \in L^2_2(\tilde{M})$ such that $\alpha = dd^\Lambda\gamma$. It follows that $[\alpha]_{d+d^\Lambda} = 0$. This proves that the homomorphism is injective.

For any $[\alpha]_{dR} \in L^2H^k_{dR}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R})$, by the decomposition 2.8, we can assume $\alpha \in L^2\mathcal{H}^k_d$ without loss of generality. If $d^\Lambda\alpha = 0$, then $[\alpha]_{d+d^\Lambda} \in L^2H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(\tilde{M})$ whose image under this map is $[\alpha]_{dR}$. Suppose that $d^\Lambda\alpha \neq 0$. Note that $\Delta_d\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \in L^2$, therefore we can obtain that α is smooth and $\|\alpha\|_{L^2_k(\tilde{M})} \leq c(k)$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Since $dd^\Lambda\alpha = 0$, by the proof of Proposition 3.4, we can find $\gamma \in L^2_2(\tilde{M})$ such that $d^\Lambda\alpha = dd^\Lambda\gamma$. Hence, $d^\Lambda(\alpha + d\gamma) = 0$ and $d(\alpha + d\gamma) = 0$. It follows that $[\alpha + d\gamma]_{d+d^\Lambda} \in L^2H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}(\tilde{M})$ whose image under this map is $[\alpha]_{dR}$. This proves that the homomorphism is also surjective. So it is an isomorphism. □

Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. Then one can find an almost Kähler structure (g, J, ω) on (M, ω). (M, g, J, ω) is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$ (cf. [19]). Let $\Pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega}) \to (M, g, J, \omega)$ be the universal covering map. If (M, g, J, ω) satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, then from Proposition 3.6, by M. Atiyah's result ([2]), it is easy to get Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let (M, g, J, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional closed almost Kähler parabolic manifold which satisfies the hard Lefschetz property,

$$\Pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{\omega}) \to (M, g, J, \omega)$$

the universal covering map. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6,

$$L^2H^k_{d+d^\wedge}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R}) \to L^2H^k_{dR}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism for all k. Since $L^2H^k_{d+d^\wedge}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R}) \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\wedge}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R})$, by Theorem 1.3, we know $L^2H^k_{d+d^\wedge}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R}) = 0$ for $k \neq n$. Hence, $L^2H^k_{dR}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R}) = 0$ for $k \neq n$. The Atiyah index theorem for covers [2] then gives $(-1)^n\chi(M) \geq 0$. Then, the conclusion follows. □

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank Professor Xiaojun Huang for his support. The second author would like to thank East China Normal University and Professor Qing Zhou for hosting his visit in the spring semester in 2014. The second author also would like to thank Wuhan University and Professor Hua Chen for hosting his visit in the autumn semester in 2015. The authors dedicate this paper to the memory of Professor Weiyue Ding in deep appreciation for his long-term support of their work.

References

[1] Angella D., Tomassini A.: Symplectic manifolds and cohomological decomposition. J. Symplectic Geom. 12, 215–236 (2014).

[2] Atiyah M.: Elliptic operators, discrete groups and Von Neumann algebras. Astérisque, No. 32-33, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 43–72 (1976).

[3] Brylinski J.L.: A differential complex for Poisson manifolds. J. Differential Geom. 28, 93–114 (1988).

[4] Cao Jianguo, Xavier Frederico: Kähler parabolicity and the Euler number of compact manifolds of non-positive sectional curvature. Math. Ann. 319, 483–491 (2001).

[5] Cavalcanti G.R.: New aspects of the dd^c-Lemma. Oxford Univ. DPhil. thesis, arXiv:math/0501406v1.

[6] Chavel I.: Riemannian geometry: a modern introduction. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[7] Chern S. S.: On curvature and characteristic classes of a Riemannian manifold. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 20, 117–126 (1955).

[8] Cheng Xu: Kähler hyperbolicity and the Euler number of a compact manifold with geodesic flow of Anosov type. Math. Ann. 325, 229–248 (2003).

[9] Ehresmann C., Libermann P.: Sur le problème déquivalence des formes différentielles extérieures quadratiques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 229, 697–698 (1949).

[10] Gromov M.: Hyperbolic groups. In Essays in group theory, 75–263. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[11] Gromov M.: Kähler hyperbolicity and L^2-Hodge theory. J. Differential Geom. 33, 263–292 (1991).

[12] Guillemin V.: Symplectic Hodge theory and the $d\delta$-Lemma. Preprint, Massachusets Institute of Technology, 2001.

[13] Hitchin N.: L^2-cohomology of hyperkähler quotients. Commun. Math. Phys. 211, 153–165 (2000).

[14] Lück W.: L^2-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 44. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[15] Jost J., Zuo K.: Vanishing theorems for L^2-cohomology on infinite coverings of compact Kähler manifolds and applications in algebraic geometry, Comm. Anal. Geom. 8, 1–30 (2000).

[16] Kodaira K., Spencer D.C.: On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stability theorems for complex structures, Ann. of Math. (2) 71, 43–76 (1960).

[17] Koszul J.L.: Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie. Astérisque no. Numero Hors Serie, 251–271 (1985).

[18] Mathieu O.: Harmonic cohomology classes of symplectic manifolds. Comment. Math. Helv. 70, 1–9 (1995).

[19] McDuff D., Salamon D.: Introduction to symplectic topology, second edition. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1998.

[20] Merkulov S.A.: Formality of canonical symplectic complexes and Forbenius manifolds. Int. Math. Res. Not. 14, 727–733 (1998).

[21] Pansu P.: Introduction to L^2 Betti numbers, Riemannian Geometry (Waterloo, ON, 1993), Fields Inst. Monogr. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 53–86 (1996).

[22] Shubin M.: von Neumann algebras and applications. Lecture Notes, Northeastern Univ., 1993.

[23] Tseng L.S., Yau S.-T.: Cohomology and Hodge theory on symplectic manifolds: I. J. Differential Geom. 91, 383–416 (2012).

[24] Yan D.: Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds. Adv. Math. 120, 143–154 (1996).

Qiang Tan
Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212013, China
e-mail: tanqiang@ujs.edu.cn

Hongyu Wang
School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002,

China
e-mail: hywang@yzu.edu.cn

Jiuru Zhou
School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China
e-mail: zhoujr1982@hotmail.com